arXiv:hep-th/9801081v3 12 May 1998

HUB-EP-98/4
THU-98/02
QMW-PH-98-01
hep-th/9801081

Higher-Order Black-Hole Solutions in $N = 2$ Supergravity and Calabi-Yau String Backgrounds

Klaus Behrndt[1a] , **Gabriel Lopes Cardoso**[2b] , **Bernard de Wit**[2c] ,
Dieter Lüst[1d] , **Thomas Mohaupt**[3e] and **Wafic A. Sabra**[4f]

[1]*Humboldt-Universität, Institut für Physik, D-10115 Berlin, Germany*
[2]*Institute for Theoretical Physics, Utrecht University, 3508 TA Utrecht, Netherlands*
[3]*Martin-Luther-Universität Halle-Wittenberg, Fachbereich Physik, D-06122 Halle, Germany*
[4]*Physics Department, QMW, Mile End Road, London E1 4NS, United Kingdom*

Abstract

Based on special geometry, we consider corrections to $N = 2$ extremal black-hole solutions and their entropies originating from higher-order derivative terms in $N = 2$ supergravity. These corrections are described by a holomorphic function, and the higher-order black-hole solutions can be expressed in terms of symplectic Sp($2n$+2) vectors. We apply the formalism to $N = 2$ type-IIA Calabi-Yau string compactifications and compare our results to recent related results in the literature.

Dedicated to the memory of Constance Caris

---

[a] behrndt@qft2.physik.hu-berlin.de
[b] cardoso@fys.ruu.nl
[c] bdewit@fys.ruu.nl
[d] luest@qft1.physik.hu-berlin.de
[e] mohaupt@hera1.physik.uni-halle.de
[f] sabra@qft2.physik.hu-berlin.de

One of the celebrated successes within the recent non-perturbative understanding of string theory and M-theory is the matching of the thermodynamic Bekenstein-Hawking black-hole entropy with the microscopic entropy based on the counting of the relevant D-brane configurations which carry the same charges as the black hole [1, 2]. This comparison works nicely for type-II string, respectively, M-theory backgrounds which break half of the supersymmetries, i.e. exhibit $N = 4$ supersymmetry in four dimensions. In this paper we will discuss charged black-hole solutions and their entropies in the context of $N = 2$ supergravity in four dimensions. Four-dimensional $N = 2$ supersymmetric vacua are obtained by compactifying the type-II string on a Calabi-Yau threefold CY_3 or by M-theory compactification on $CY_3 \times S^1$. In addition, the heterotic string on $K3 \times T^2$ also leads to $N = 2$ supersymmetry in four dimensions and the heterotic and the type-II vacua are expected to be related by string-string duality [3].

Extremal, charged, $N = 2$ black holes, their entropies and also the corresponding brane configurations were discussed in several recent papers [4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11]. The macroscopic Bekenstein-Hawking entropy $\mathcal{S}_{\rm BH}$, i.e. the area A of the black-hole horizon, can be obtained as the minimum of the graviphoton charge Z [4],

$$\mathcal{S}_{\rm BH} = \frac{A}{4} = \pi |Z_{\rm min}|^2 \,, \tag{1}$$

where, as a solution of the minimization procedure, the entropy as well as the scalar fields (moduli) at the horizon depend only on the electric and magnetic black hole charges, but not on the asymptotic boundary values of the moduli fields. This procedure has been used extensively to determine the entropy of $N = 2$ black holes for type-II compactifications on Calabi-Yau threefolds [5]. One of the key features of extremal $N = 2$ black-hole solutions is that the moduli depend in general on r, but show a fixed-point behaviour at the horizon. This fixed-point behaviour is implied by the fact that, at the horizon, full $N = 2$ supersymmetry is restored; at the horizon the metric is equal to the Bertotti-Robinson metric, corresponding to the $AdS_2 \times S^2$ geometry. This metric can be described by a $(0, 4)$ superconformal field theory [12]. The extremal black hole can be regarded as a soliton solution which interpolates between two fully $N = 2$ supersymmetric vacua, namely corresponding to $AdS_2 \times S^2$ at the horizon and flat Minkowski spacetime at spatial infinity.

The $N = 2$ black holes together with their entropies which were considered so far, appeared as solutions of the equations of motion of $N = 2$ Maxwell-Einstein supergravity action, where the bosonic part of the action contains terms with at most two space-time derivates (i.e., the Einstein action, gauge kinetic terms and the scalar non-linear σ-model). This part of the $N = 2$ supergravity action can be encoded in a holomorphic prepotential $F(X)$, which is a function of the scalar fields X belonging to the vector multiplets. However, the $N = 2$ effective action of strings and M-theory contains in addition an infinite number of higher-derivative terms involving higher-order products of the Riemann tensor and the vector field strengths. A particularly interesting subset of these couplings in $N = 2$ supergravity can be again described by a holomophic function $F(X, W^2)$

[13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18] , where the additional chiral superfield W is the Weyl superfield, comprising the covariant quantities of conformal supergravity. Its lowest component is the graviphoton field strength (in the form of an auxiliary tensor field $T^-_{\mu\nu}$), while the Weyl tensor appears at the θ^2 level. The aim of this paper is, using the superconformal calculus, to study the $N=2$ black-hole solutions and the corresponding entropies for higher order $N=2$ supergravity based on the holomorphic function $F(X, W^2)$. We treat W^2 as a new chiral background and expand the black-hole solutions as a power series in W^2. As an interesting example we compute the entropy of the charged $N=2$ black holes in type-II compactifications on a Calabi-Yau threefold. At the end we compare our results to a recent computation of the microscopic Calabi-Yau black hole in M-theory [9, 10].

Let us start by recalling the $N=2$ black-hole solutions and their entropies in the case where the holomorphic function $F(X)$ does not depend on the Weyl multiplet. The bosonic $N=2$ supergravity action coupled to n vector multiplets is given by

$$S_{N=2} = \int \mathrm{d}^4x \, \sqrt{-g}\Big[-\tfrac{1}{2}R + g_{A\bar B}\, \partial^\mu z^A \partial_\mu \bar z^B - \tfrac{1}{8} i \left(\bar{\mathcal{N}}_{IJ} F^{-I}_{\mu\nu} F^{-J\mu\nu} - \mathcal{N}_{IJ} F^{+I}_{\mu\nu} F^{+J\mu\nu} \right) \Big], \quad (2)$$

where the z^A (with $A = 1\ldots, n$) denote complex scalar fields, and $F^{\pm I\,\mu\nu}$ (with $I = 0, \ldots, n$) are the (anti-)selfdual abelian field strengths (including the graviphoton field strength). An intrinsic definition of a special Kähler manifold [19] can be given in terms of a flat $(2n+2)$-dimensional symplectic bundle over the $(2n)$-dimensional Kähler-Hodge manifold, with the covariantly holomorphic sections

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} X^I \\ F_I \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3)$$

obeying the symplectic constraint

$$i\langle \bar V, V \rangle = i(\bar X^I F_I - \bar F_I X^I) = 1\,. \quad (4)$$

Usually the F_I can be expressed in terms of a holomorphic prepotential $F(X)$, homogenous of degree two, via $F_I = \partial F(X)/\partial X^I$. The field-dependent gauge couplings in (2) can then also be expressed in terms of derivatives of F. The constraint (4) can be solved by introducing the projective holomorphic sections $X^I(z)$, which are related to the X^I according to

$$X^I = e^{\frac{1}{2}K(z,\bar z)} X^I(z), \quad K(z, \bar z) = -\log[i\bar X^I(z) F_I(X^I(z)) - iX^I(z)\bar F_I(\bar X^I(\bar z))]\,. \quad (5)$$

Here $K(z, \bar z)$ is the Kähler potential which gives rise to the metric $g_{A\bar B}$. The holomorphic sections transform under projective transformations $X^I(z) \to \exp[f(z)]\, X^I(z)$, which induce a Kähler transformation on the Kähler potential K and a U(1) transformation on the section V,

$$K(z, \bar z) \to K(z, \bar z) - f(z) - \bar f(\bar z)\,, \qquad V(z, \bar z) \to \mathrm{e}^{\frac{1}{2}(f(z) - \bar f(\bar z))} V(z, \bar z)\,. \quad (6)$$

Besides V, the magnetic/electric field strengths $(F^I_{\mu\nu}, G_{\mu\nu I})$ also consitute a symplectic vector. Here $G_{\mu\nu I}$ is generally defined by $G^+_{\mu\nu I}(x) = -4ig^{-1/2}\, \delta S / \delta F^{+\mu\nu I}$. Consequently, also the corresponding magnetic/electric charges $Q = (p^I, q_I)$ transform as a symplectic vector.

In terms of these symplectic vectors the stationary solutions have been discussed in [7] in a fixed Kähler gauge. The generalized Maxwell equations can be solved in terms of $2n+2$ harmonic functions, which therefore also transform as a symplectic vector ($m, n = 1, 2, 3$),

$$F^I_{mn} = \tfrac{1}{2}\epsilon_{mnp}\,\partial_p\tilde{H}^I(r) \quad , \quad G_{mnI} = \tfrac{1}{2}\epsilon_{mnp}\,\partial_p H_I(r)\,. \tag{7}$$

Throughout this paper we assume that the metric can be brought in the form [20]

$$ds^2 = -e^{2U}dt^2 + e^{-2U}dx^m dx^m \ , \tag{8}$$

where U is a function of the radial coordinate $r = \sqrt{x^m x^m}$. The harmonic functions can be parametrized as

$$\tilde{H}^I(r) = \tilde{h}^I + \frac{p^I}{r}\,, \qquad H_I(r) = h_I + \frac{q_I}{r}\,, \tag{9}$$

and we write the corresponding symplectic vector as $H(r) = (\tilde{H}^I(r), H_I(r)) = h + Q/r$.

Once one has identified the various symplectic vectors that play a role in the solutions, it follows from symplectic covariance that these vectors should satisfy a certain proportionality relation. The simplest possibility is to assume that V and H are directly proportional to each other. Because H is real and invariant under U(1) transformations, there is a complex proportionality factor, which we denote by Z. Hence we define a U(1)-invariant symplectic vector (here we use the homogeneity property of the function F),

$$\Pi = \bar{Z}V = (Y^I, F_I(Y))\,, \tag{10}$$

so that $Y^I = \bar{Z}X^I$, and assume

$$\Pi(r) - \bar{\Pi}(r) = iH(r)\,. \tag{11}$$

This equation determines Z,

$$Z(r) = -H_I(r)\,X^I + \tilde{H}^I(r)\,F_I(X)\,, \qquad |Z(r)|^2 = i\langle\bar{\Pi}(r), \Pi(r)\rangle\,. \tag{12}$$

The first of these equations indicates that $Z(r)$ is related to the auxiliary field $T^-_{\mu\nu}$. This relation may not hold when F depends on W^2. The equations (11), which we call the stabilization equations, also govern the r-dependence of the scalar moduli fields: $z^A(r) = Y^A(r)/Y^0(r)$. So the constants $(\tilde{h}^I, h_I)$ just determine the asymptotic values of the scalars at $r = \infty$. In order to obtain an asymptotically flat metric with standard normalization, these constants must fullfill some constraints. Near the horizon ($r \approx 0$), (11) takes the form used in [5] and Z becomes proportional to the holomorphic BPS mass $\mathcal{M}(z) = q_I X^I(z) - p^I F_I(X(z)$.

When in addition we make the symplectically invariant ansatz $e^{-2U} = Z\bar{Z}$, it can be shown that the solution preserves half the supersymmetries, except at the horizon and at spatial infinity, where supersymmetry is unbroken. From the form of the static solution at the horizon ($r \to 0$) we can easily derive its macroscopic entropy. Specifically the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_{\rm BH} &= \pi\,(r^2 e^{-2U})_{r=0} = \pi\,(r^2 Z\bar{Z})_{r=0} \\ &= i\pi\left(\bar{Y}^I_{\rm hor}\,F_I(Y_{\rm hor}) - \bar{F}_I(\bar{Y}_{\rm hor})\,Y^I_{\rm hor}\right), \end{aligned} \qquad (13)$$

where the symplectic vector Π at the horizon,

$$\Pi(r) \overset{r\to 0}{\approx} \frac{\Pi_{\rm hor}}{r}, \qquad Y^I(r) \overset{r\to 0}{\approx} \frac{Y^I_{\rm hor}}{r}, \qquad (14)$$

is determined by the following set of stabilization equations:

$$\Pi_{\rm hor} - \bar{\Pi}_{\rm hor} = iQ. \qquad (15)$$

So we see that the entropy as well as the scalar fields $z^A_{\rm hor} = Y^A_{\rm hor}/Y^0_{\rm hor}$ depend only on the magnetic/electric charges (p^I, q_I). It is useful to note that the set of stabilization equations (15) is equivalent to the minimization of Z with respect to the moduli fields [4]. As already said, at the horizon $r = 0$ full $N = 2$ supersymmetry is recovered. Also note that in the same way one can construct more general stationary solutions, such as rotating $N = 2$ black holes, multi-centered black holes, TAUB-NUT spaces, etc. [7].

As an example, consider a type-IIA compactification on a Calabi-Yau 3-fold. The number of vector superfields is given as $n = h^{(1,1)}$. The prepotential, which is purely classical, contains the Calabi-Yau intersection numbers of the 4-cycles, C_{ABC}, and, as α'-corrections, the Euler number χ and the rational instanton numbers n^r. Hence the black-hole solutions will depend in general on all these topological quantities [5]. However, for a large Calabi-Yau volume, only the part from the intersection numbers survives and the $N = 2$ prepotential is given by

$$F(Y) = D_{ABC}\frac{Y^A Y^B Y^C}{Y^0}, \qquad D_{ABC} = -\tfrac{1}{6}C_{ABC}\,. \qquad (16)$$

Based on this prepotential we consider in the following a class of non-axionic black-hole solutions (that is, solutions with purely imaginary moduli fields) with only non-vanishing charges q_0 and p^A ($A = 1, \ldots, h^{(1,1)}$). So only the harmonic functions $H_0(r)$ and $\tilde{H}^A(r)$ are nonvanishing. This charged configuration corresponds, in the type-IIA compactification, to the intersection of three D4-branes, wrapped over the internal Calabi-Yau 4-cycles and hence carrying magnetic charges p^A, plus one D0-brane with electric charge q_0. In the corresponding M-theory picture these black holes originate from the wrapping of three M5-branes, intersecting over a common string, plus an M-theory wave solution (momentum along the common string). For the solution indicated above, the four-dimensional metric of the extremal black-hole solutions is given by [7]

$$e^{-2U(r)} = 2\sqrt{H_0(r)\,D_{ABC}\,\tilde{H}^A(r)\,\tilde{H}^B(r)\,\tilde{H}^C(r)}. \qquad (17)$$

The scalars at the horizon are determined as

$$z^A_{\rm hor} = \frac{Y^A_{\rm hor}}{Y^0_{\rm hor}}\,, \quad Y^A_{\rm hor} = \tfrac{1}{2} i p^A, \quad Y^0_{\rm hor} = \tfrac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{D}{q_0}}\,, \quad D = D_{ABC}\, p^A p^B p^C\,. \tag{18}$$

Finally, the corresponding macroscopic entropy takes the form [5, 21]

$$\mathcal{S}_{\rm BH} = 2\pi \sqrt{q_0 D}. \tag{19}$$

Let us now turn to the discussion of $N=2$ black-hole solutions in the presence of higher-derivative terms in the $N=2$ supergravity action. In that situation the function F depends on both X and W^2 and is still holomorphic and homogeneous of second degree. Just like the chiral superfield, whose lowest component is X^I, $W_{\mu\nu}$ is also a reduced chiral superfield. Therefore it has the same chiral and Weyl weights as X^I. Thus, $W^2 = W_{\mu\nu}W^{\mu\nu}$ is a scalar chiral multiplet with Weyl and chiral weight equal to twice that of the X^I. Hence the homogeneity of F implies

$$X^I\, F_I(X, W^2) + 2\, W^2\, \frac{\partial F(X, W^2)}{\partial W^2} = 2F(X, W^2)\,. \tag{20}$$

The lowest-θ component of the superfield W contains the auxiliary tensor field that previously took the form of the graviphoton field strength (up to fermionic terms). However, in the case at hand the Lagrangian is more complicated, so that this tensor can only be evaluated as a power series in terms of external momenta divided by the Planck mass. Similar comments apply to all the auxiliary fields. Nevertheless we can still use the superconformal $N=2$ multiplet calculus [13]. Obviously, this case is much more complicated and we do not attempt to give a full treatment of the solutions here. A detailed discussion of these solutions will appear elsewhere. In the following we will instead rely on symplectic covariance to analyze the immediate consequences of the W-dependence of F and discuss its implication for the black-hole entropy.

Our strategy will be to expand $F(X, W^2)$ as a power series in W^2 as follows,

$$F(X^I, W^2) = \sum_{g=0}^{\infty} F^{(g)}(X^I) W^{2g}\,, \tag{21}$$

where $F^{(0)}$ is nothing else than the prepotential discussed before. This expansion will allow us to make contact with the microscopic results of [9, 10], where a suitable expansion of the microscopic entropy was proposed. From the fact that the superfield W contains the Weyl tensor at order θ^2, the W^2 dependence leads, for instance, to terms proportional to the square of the Weyl tensor and quadratic in the abelian field strengths, times powers of the tensor field T. Nevertheless, these terms are all precisely encoded in (21).

For type-II compactifications on a Calabi-Yau space, the terms involving $F^{(g)}(X)$ arise at g-loop order, whereas in the dual heterotic vacua the $F^{(g)}$ appear at one loop and also contain non-perturbative corrections. In passing we note that the physical couplings are in general non-holomorphic, where the holomorphic anomalies are governed by a set of recursive holomorphic anomaly equations [14, 15, 17].

The presence of the chiral background W^2 will not modify the special geometry features that we discussed before, provided one now uses the *full* function F with the W^2 dependence included. So, the section V, which transforms as a vector under $\mathrm{Sp}(2n+2)$, now takes the form

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} X^I \\ F_I(X, W^2) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} X^I \\ \sum_{g=0} F_I^{(g)}(X)\, W^{2g} \end{pmatrix} . \tag{22}$$

In order for the Einstein term to be canonical, V has to obey again the symplectic constraint (4), so that $\bar{X}^I F_I(X, W^2) - X^I \bar{F}_I(\bar{X}, \bar{W}^2) = -i$. Using the U(1)-invariant combinations $Y^I = \bar{Z} X^I$ and $\bar{Z}^2 W^2$ we can define a U(1)-invariant symplectic vector as

$$\Pi = \bar{Z} V = \begin{pmatrix} Y^I \\ F_I(Y, \bar{Z}^2 W^2) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} Y^I \\ \sum_{g=0} F_I^{(g)}(Y)\, (\bar{Z}^2 W^2)^g \end{pmatrix} , \tag{23}$$

where we used the expansion $F(Y, \bar{Z}^2 W^2) = \sum_{g=0} F^{(g)}(Y)(\bar{Z}^2 W^2)^g$. The factor Z will again be determined by the stabilization equations and will thus depend on W^2.

Second, the field strength $G_{\mu\nu I}$, which together with $F^I_{\mu\nu}$ forms a symplectic pair, is still defined by the derivative with respect to the abelian field strength of the full action, and therefore modified by the W-dependence of F. Prior to eliminating the auxiliary fields, this action is at most quadratic in the field strengths, and $G^{\pm}_{\mu\nu I}$ is generally parametrized as

$$G^+_{\mu\nu I} = \bar{F}_{IJ}(\bar{X}, \bar{W}^2) F^{+J}_{\mu\nu} + \mathcal{O}^+_{\mu\nu I} , \qquad G^-_{\mu\nu I} = F_{IJ}(X, W^2) F^{-J}_{\mu\nu} + \mathcal{O}^-_{\mu\nu I} , \tag{24}$$

where $\mathcal{O}^{\pm}_{\mu\nu I}$ represents bosonic and fermionic moment couplings to the vector fields, such that the Bianchi identities and the field equations read $\partial^\nu (F^+ - F^-)^I_{\mu\nu} = \partial^\nu (G^+ - G^-)_{\mu\nu I} = 0$. Again, it is crucial to include the full dependence on the Weyl multiplet, also in the tensors $G^{\pm}_{\mu\nu I}$ and $\mathcal{O}^{\pm}_{\mu\nu I}$. The reason is that the symplectic reparametrizations are linked to the full equations of motion for the vector fields (which involve the Weyl multiplet) and not to (parts of) the Lagrangian. The modification of the field strength $G_{\mu\nu I}$ can be interpreted as having the effect that the electric charges q_I (which, together with the magnetic charges p^I form the symplectic charge vector Q) get modified in the chiral W^2 "medium", compared to their original "microscopic" values $q_I^{(0)}$. Below we will comment on the relevance of this observation.

Extremal $N = 2$ black-hole solutions in the presence of higher-derivative interactions must again preserve half the supersymmetries, except for $r = 0, \infty$, but obviously this condition is now much harder to solve. Nevertheless it is possible to show that the (tangent-space) derivative of the moduli with respect to the radial variable is still vanishing at the horizon, indicating the expected fixed-point behaviour. Rather than solving the equations for the full black-hole solution, we impose the stabilization equations. For the metric we make again the ansatz (8) where e^{-2U} takes the same form in terms of Π, which now incorporates the modifications due to the background,

$$e^{-2U} \;=\; Z\bar{Z} = i(\bar{Y}^I F_I(Y, \bar{Z}^2 W^2) - Y^I \bar{F}_I(\bar{Y}, Z^2 \bar{W}^2)). \tag{25}$$

The stabilization equations now read

$$\begin{pmatrix} Y^I - \bar{Y}^I \\ F_I(Y, \bar{Z}^2 W^2) - \bar{F}_I(\bar{Y}, Z^2 \bar{W}^2) \end{pmatrix} = i \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{H}^I(r) \\ H_I(r) \end{pmatrix} = i \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{h}^I \\ h_I \end{pmatrix} + \frac{i}{r} \begin{pmatrix} p^I \\ q_I \end{pmatrix} , \tag{26}$$

where the harmonic functions characterize the values of the field strengths according to (7), just as before, except that the field strength $G_{\mu\nu I}$ incorporates the modifications due to the background.

We are now particularly interested in the behavior of our solution at the horizon $r \to 0$, that is we would like to compute the corrected expression for the black-hole entropy. Without any W-dependence in F, previous calculations show that the quantity $\bar{Z}^2 W^2 = \bar{Z}^2 (T^-_{\mu\nu} T^{-\mu\nu})$ has the following behaviour near the horizon:

$$\bar{Z}^2 W^2 = \frac{1}{r^2} + \mathcal{O}(r^0) \quad . \tag{27}$$

Equation (27) could get modified when F depends on W. These corrections should then be viewed as the back reaction of the non-trivial W^2-background on the black-hole solution. We will work to leading order and therefore assume that possible corrections to (27) can be neglected to that order. Thus, we will simply use equation (27) at the horizon, so that the modified $N = 2$ black-hole entropy is then given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_{\rm BH} &= \pi\,(r^2 e^{-2U})_{r=0} = \pi\,(r^2 Z\bar{Z})_{r=0} \\ &= i\pi \left(\bar{Y}^I_{\rm hor}\, F_I(Y_{\rm hor}, 1) - Y^I_{\rm hor}\, \bar{F}_I(\bar{Y}_{\rm hor}, 1) \right) . \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

As before, the symplectic vector $\Pi_{\rm hor}$ is given as in equation (15), where now, however, $\Pi_{\rm hor}$ has a non-trivial dependence on the W^2-background. So we have

$$Y^I_{\rm hor} - \bar{Y}^I_{\rm hor} = ip^I, \qquad F_I(Y_{\rm hor}, 1) - \bar{F}_I(\bar{Y}_{\rm hor}, 1) = iq_I\,. \tag{29}$$

Consequently, the modified black hole entropy only depends again on the magnetic/electric charges.

For concreteness, let us again discuss the type-IIA compactification on a Calabi-Yau 3-fold in the limit of large radii, which amounts to suppressing all α'-corrections. We are, in particular, interested in the contribution from $F^{(1)}$, which arises at one loop in the type-IIA string. This term is of topological origin; it is related to a one-loop R^4 term in the ten-dimensional effective IIA action [22]. For the function $F(Y, \bar{Z}^2 W^2)$ we thus take

$$F(Y, \bar{Z}^2 W^2) = F^{(0)}(Y) + F^{(1)}(Y) \bar{Z}^2 W^2 = D_{ABC}\, \frac{Y^A Y^B Y^C}{Y^0} - \tfrac{1}{24} c_{2A}\, \frac{Y^A}{Y^0}\, \bar{Z}^2 W^2 \quad . \tag{30}$$

Here the c_{2A} are the second Chern class numbers of the Calabi-Yau 3-fold. For simplicity we will consider again axion-free black holes with $p^0 = 0, q_A = 0$. Then the stabilization equations (29) have the solution

$$Y^A_{\rm hor} = \tfrac{1}{2} i p^A \,, \qquad Y^0_{\rm hor} = \tfrac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{D}{q_0}} \sqrt{1 + \frac{c_{2A}\, p^A}{6D}} \,. \tag{31}$$

Inserting (31) into (28) yields

$$\mathcal{S}_{\rm BH} = 2\pi \sqrt{q_0}\, \frac{D + \frac{1}{12} c_{2A}\, p^A}{\sqrt{D + \frac{1}{6} c_{2A}\, p^A}} \quad . \tag{32}$$

Equation (32) is only to be trusted to linear order in c_{2A}, because we have not included the back reaction. Expanding (32) to lowest order in c_{2A} yields

$$\mathcal{S}_{\rm BH} = 2\pi \sqrt{q_0 D} + 2\pi (\tfrac{1}{12} - \tfrac{1}{12}) c_{2A}\, p^A \sqrt{\frac{q_0}{D}} + \cdots \,. \tag{33}$$

The terms linear in c_{2A} thus cancel out! Thus, when expressed in terms of the charges $Q = (p^I, q_I)$, there is no correction to $\mathcal{S}_{\rm BH}$ to lowest order in c_{2A}!. Although this is a rather striking result, whose significance is not quite clear to us at the moment, it seems to disagree with the microscopic findings of [9, 10], as we will now discuss.

We would like to compare the macroscopic entropy formula (33) with the microscopic entropy formula recently computed in [9, 10] for certain compactifications of M-theory and type-IIA theory on Calabi-Yau 3-folds. In [9, 10] the microscopic entropy was, to all orders in c_{2A}, found to be

$$\mathcal{S}_{\rm micro} = 2\pi \sqrt{q_0 D \Big(1 + \frac{c_{2A}\, p^A}{6D}\Big)} \,. \tag{34}$$

Expanding (34) to lowest order in c_{2A} yields

$$\mathcal{S}_{\rm micro} = 2\pi \sqrt{q_0 D} + 2\pi \tfrac{1}{12} c_{2A}\, p^A \sqrt{\frac{q_0}{D}} + \cdots \,. \tag{35}$$

The correction in (35) was then matched [9, 10] with a correction to the effective action involving R^2-type terms with a coefficient function $F^{(1)}$.

The approach used above to obtain the macroscopic entropy (33) is different from the approach used in [9, 10] for obtaining the macroscopic formula. A comparison of the results of both approaches, that is of (35) and (33), indicates that in order to obtain matching of both results, the macroscopic electric charge q_0 appearing in (33) cannot be identical to the electric q_0 appearing in (35). This is one way of explaining the discrepancy. If we denote the charge q_0 appearing in (35) by $q_0^{(0)}$, then matching of (35) and (33) can be achieved provided that the q_0 appearing in (33) is related to $q_0^{(0)}$ as follows:

$$q_0 = q_0^{(0)} \left(1 + \frac{c_{2A} p^A}{6D}\right) \quad . \tag{36}$$

As alluded to earlier, this can be interpreted as a modification of the "microscopic" charge $q_0^{(0)}$ due to the W^2 medium. This interpretation can be further motivated by noting that if one defines $q_0^{(0)}$ to be given by

$$q_I^{(0)} \equiv -i\left(F_I^{(0)}(Y_{\rm hor}) - \bar{F}_I^{(0)}(\bar{Y}_{\rm hor})\right) = q^I + i\sum_{g\geq 1}\left(F_I^{(g)}(Y_{\rm hor}) - \bar{F}_I^{(g)}(\bar{Y}_{\rm hor})\right) \quad , \tag{37}$$

then insertion of (31) into (37) precisely yields (36). Equation (37) makes it clear that, in the presence of a W^2 medium, the charges q_0 and $q_0^{(0)}$ cannot be identical. Since the "microscopic" charge $q_0^{(0)}$ has the interpretation of quantized momentum around a circle [9], it is integer valued. We thus note that the macroscopic charge q_0 given in (36), which is measured at spatial infinity, is not integer valued in the presence of a W^2 medium.

Given that the equations (35) and (33) agree (provided the relation (36) holds), it is then conceivable that the full macroscopic entropy, derived from the metric given in (25), also agrees with the full microscopic entropy (34). In order to calculate the full macroscopic entropy from (25), one will have to take into account a possible back reaction of the non-trivial W^2 background on the black hole solution, in particular the corrections to the quantity $(\bar{Z}^2W^2)$ at the horizon (27). In the presence of such corrections, the quantity $(\bar{Z}^2W^2)_{\rm hor}$ will presumably also depend on the magnetic/electric charges, that is $(\bar{Z}^2W^2)_{\rm hor} = \frac{f(p,q)}{r^2}$. In addition the higher functions $F^{(g)}$ $(g > 1)$ might also contribute to the entropy. Finally, even non-holomorphic corrections to the higher-derivative effective action might play a role. At the end, let us note that if one inserts (36) into (34), the microscopic entropy takes again the very simple form:

$$\mathcal{S}_{\rm micro} = 2\pi\sqrt{q_0 D}. \tag{38}$$

So with this charge "renormalization" one rediscovers the zero-th order entropy (19). It is tempting to speculate that this feature is related to the enhancement of the supersymmetry at the horizon, namely to the fact that at the horizon we still have an $AdS_2 \times S^2$ geometry, even after including all higher-derivative terms.

Acknowledgements

This work is supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) and by the European Commission TMR programme ERBFMRX-CT96-0045 in which Humboldt-University Berlin and Utrecht University participate. W.A.S is partially supported by DESY-Zeuthen.

Note Added

In [23] it has been pointed out that there are modifications to the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy formula in the presence of higher curvature terms. In this paper we have not considered such modifications. It is not clear at present what their contribution to our result is. We would like to thank Serge Massar for raising this issue and for bringing references [23] to our attention. B. d. W. thanks Robert Myers for useful discussions regarding this topic.

References

[1] A. Strominger and C. Vafa, *Phys. Lett.* **B379** (1996) 99, `hep-th/9601029`.

[2] C.G. Callan and J.M. Maldacena, *Nucl. Phys.* **B472** (1996) 591, `hep-th/9602043`.

[3] S. Kachru and C. Vafa, *Nucl. Phys.* **B450** (1995) 69, `hep-th/9505105`.

[4] S. Ferrara, R. Kallosh and A. Strominger, *Phys. Rev.* **D52** (1995) 5412, `hep-th/9508072`; S. Ferrara and R. Kallosh, *Phys. Rev.* **D54** (1996) 1514, `hep-th/9602136`; *Phys. Rev.* **D54** (1996) 1525, `hep-th/9603090`; S. Ferrara, G.W. Gibbons and R. Kallosh, *Nucl. Phys.* **B500** (1997) 75, `hep-th/9702103`.

[5] K. Behrndt, G. Lopes Cardoso, B. de Wit, R. Kallosh, D. Lüst and T. Mohaupt, *Nucl. Phys.* **B488** (1997) 236, `hep-th/9610105`.

[6] S.-J. Rey, *Nucl. Phys.* **B508** (1997) 569, `hep-th/9610157`.

[7] W. A. Sabra, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A12** (1997) 2585, hep-th/9703101; *Black holes in $N = 2$ supergravity and harmonic functions*, `hep-th/9704147`; K. Behrndt, D. Lüst and W.A. Sabra, *Stationary solutions of N=2 supergravity*, `hep-th/9705169`.

[8] K. Behrndt, D. Lüst and W.A. Sabra, *Moving moduli, Calabi-Yau phase transitions and massless BPS configurations in type II superstrings*, `hep-th/9708065`; K. Behrndt, I. Gaida, D. Lüst, S. Mahapatra and T. Mohaupt, *From type IIA black holes to T dual type IIB D instantons in N=2, $D = 4$ supergravity*, `hep-th/9706096`.

[9] J.M. Maldacena, A. Strominger and E. Witten, *Black hole entropy in M theory*, `hep-th/9711053`.

[10] C. Vafa, *Black holes and Calabi-Yau threefolds*, `hep-th/9711067`.

[11] A. Sen, *Black holes and elementary string states in N=2 supersymmetric string theories*, `hep-th/9712150`.

[12] D.A. Lowe and A. Strominger, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **73** (1994) 1468, `hep-th/9403186`.

[13] E. Bergshoeff, M. de Roo and B. de Wit, *Nucl. Phys.* **B217** (1983) 489.

[14] I. Antoniadis, E. Gava, K. Narain and T.R. Taylor, *Nucl. Phys.* **B413** (1994) 162, `hep-th/9307158`.

[15] M. Bershadsky, S. Cecotti, H. Ooguri and C. Vafa, *Nucl. Phys.* **B405** (1993) 279; *Comm. Math. Phys.* **165** (1994) 311, `hep-th/9309140`.

[16] B. de Wit, *Nucl. Phys.*(Proc. Suppl.) **B49** (1996) 191, `hep-th/9602060`; *Fortsch. Phys.* **44** (1996) 529, `hep-th/9603191`.

[17] B. de Wit, G. Lopes Cardoso, D. Lüst, T. Mohaupt and S.-J. Rey, *Nucl. Phys.* **B481** (1996) 353, `hep-th/9607184`.

[18] G. Moore, *String duality, automorphic forms, and generalized Kac-Moody algebras*, `hep-th/9710198`.

[19] B. de Wit and A. Van Proeyen, *Nucl. Phys.* **B245** (1984) 89; *Isometries of special manifolds*, `hep-th/9505097`; S. Cecotti, S. Ferrara, and L. Girardello, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A4** (1989) 2475; A. Strominger, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **133** (1990) 163; S. Ferrara and A. Strominger, in Strings '89, ed. R. Arnowitt, R. Bryan, M. J. Duff, D. Nanopulos and C. N. Pope, World Scientific (1990) 245; P. Candelas and X. de la Ossa, *Nucl. Phys.* **B355** (1991) 455; A. Ceresole, R. D'Auria, S. Ferrara and A. van Proeyen, *Nucl. Phys.* **B444** (1995) 92, `hep-th/9502072`; L. Andrianopoli, M. Bertolini, A. Ceresole, R. D'Auria, S. Ferrara and P. Frè, *Nucl. Phys.* **B476** (1996) 397, `hep-th/9603004`; B. Craps, F. Roose, W. Troost and A. Van Proeyen, *Nucl. Phys.* **B503** (1997) 565, `hep-th/9703082`.

[20] K.P. Tod, *Phys. Lett.* **121B** (1983) 241.

[21] R. Kallosh, M. Shmakova and W.K. Wong, *Phys. Rev.* **D54** (1996) 6284, `hep-th/9607077`; K. Behrndt, R. Kallosh, J. Rahmfeld, M. Shmakova and W.K. Wong, *Phys. Rev.* **D54** (1996) 6293, `hep-th/9608059`; G. Lopes Cardoso, D. Lüst and T. Mohaupt, *Phys. Lett.* **B388** (1996) 266, `hep-th/9608099`.

[22] I. Antoniadis, S. Ferrara, R. Minasian and K.S. Narain, *Nucl. Phys.* **B507** (1997) 571, `hep-th/9707013`.

[23] R. M. Wald, *Phys. Rev.* **D48** (1993) 3427, `gr-qc/9307038`; V. Iyer and R. M. Wald, *Phys. Rev.* **D50** (1994) 846, `gr-qc/9403028`; T. Jacobson, G. Kang and R. C. Myers, *Black-Hole Entropy in Higher Curvature Gravity*, `gr-qc/9502009`; R. M. Wald, *Black-Holes and Thermodynamics*, `gr-qc/9702022`.